Exhibit D

Electronic Acknowledgement Receipt		
EFS ID:	5200981	
Application Number:	09972424	
International Application Number:		
Confirmation Number:	8244	
Title of Invention:	One click web records	
First Named Inventor/Applicant Name:	Chris E. Matichuk	
Customer Number:	20306	
Filer:	GEORGE I. LEE	
Filer Authorized By:		
Attorney Docket Number:	03-509-A	
Receipt Date:	22-APR-2009	
Filing Date:	04-OCT-2001	
Time Stamp:	18:07:58	
Application Type:	Utility under 35 USC 111(a)	
Payment information:		

Submitted with Payment	yes
Payment Type	Deposit Account
Payment was successfully received in RAM	\$540
RAM confirmation Number	4449
Deposit Account	132490
Authorized User	

File Listing:

Document	Document Description	File Name	File Size(Bytes)/	Multi	Pages
Number	Document Description	File Name	Message Digest	Part /.zip	(if appl.)

1 Appeal Brief Filed		03-509-A_Pre- Appeal_Brief_Request_for_Revi	100860 no		1
'	ew.pdf		cad7d75cb3e57f1c9e15baa6dbf3930c1a96 7bd4		
Warnings:					
Information:					
2 Appeal Brief Filed		03-509-A_Pre-	127726	no	4
-	2 Appear bilet i fied	Appeal_Brief_Request.pdf	10e126122bcaafa1a11ba67b0e8e702d008 2fc42		•
Warnings:					
Information:					
3 Appeal Brief Filed	Anneal Brief Filed	03-509-A_Notice_of_Appeal.	90273	no	1
	pdf	4013f2a9c5c2918b7398c5b39229d869d79 0d682	110	1	
Warnings:					
Information:					
4 Fee Worksheet (PTO-06)	fee-info.pdf	29660	no	2	
·	4 Tee Worksheet (F10-00)	, so malpar	ลด์042885f8ดีม903ada7d7d525a4b794fb9ใc 8db0		_
Warnings:					
Information:					
***************************************	***************************************	Total Files Size (in bytes)	3	48519	-,,,,,,,,.

This Acknowledgement Receipt evidences receipt on the noted date by the USPTO of the indicated documents, characterized by the applicant, and including page counts, where applicable. It serves as evidence of receipt similar to a Post Card, as described in MPEP 503.

New Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 111

If a new application is being filed and the application includes the necessary components for a filing date (see 37 CFR 1.53(b)-(d) and MPEP 506), a Filing Receipt (37 CFR 1.54) will be issued in due course and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the filing date of the application.

National Stage of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. 371

If a timely submission to enter the national stage of an international application is compliant with the conditions of 35 U.S.C. 371 and other applicable requirements a Form PCT/DO/EO/903 indicating acceptance of the application as a national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. 371 will be issued in addition to the Filing Receipt, in due course.

New International Application Filed with the USPTO as a Receiving Office

If a new international application is being filed and the international application includes the necessary components for an international filing date (see PCT Article 11 and MPEP 1810), a Notification of the International Application Number and of the International Filing Date (Form PCT/RO/105) will be issued in due course, subject to prescriptions concerning national security, and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the international filing date of the application.

Application Number:	099	72424			
Filing Date:	04-0	04-Oct-2001			
Title of Invention:	One	e click web records			
First Named Inventor/Applicant Name:	Chi	is E. Matichuk			
Filer:	GEO	GEORGE I. LEE			
Attorney Docket Number:	03-	03-509-A			
Filed as Large Entity					
Utility under 35 USC 111(a) Filing Fees					
Description		Fee Code	Quantity	Amount	Sub-Total in USD(\$)
Basic Filing:					
Pages:					
Claims:			AND		
Miscellaneous-Filing:					
Petition:					
			***************************************	,	, i i
Patent-Appeals-and-Interference:			T	540	540
Patent-Appeals-and-Interference: Notice of appeal		1401	1		

Description	Fee Code	Quantity	Amount	Sub-Total in USD(\$)
Miscellaneous:				
	Tot	al in USD	(\$)	540

Approved for use through 02/28/2009. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number 03-509-A	г (Optional)	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	Application Number 09/972,424		Filed October 4, 2001	
on	First Named I	nventor		
Signature	Chris E. M	atichuk		
oigniture	Art Unit		Examiner	
Typed or printed	2145		Azizul Q. Choudhury	
name			•	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above with this request.	-identified ap	plication. No ar	nendments are being filed	
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW ACCOMPA	i.	•	AL	
I am the				
applicant/inventor.	/Geo	rge I. Lee/		
	•	•	Signature	
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.	Geor	ge I. Lee		
(Form PTO/SB/96)		Typed	or printed name	
attorney or agent of record. Registration number 39,269	312-0	13-2134		
Registration number 00,200			phone number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	April	22, 2009		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	<u></u>		Date	
-	-			
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entir Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below		ir representative(s)	are required.	
*Total of forms are submitted.			A-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4	

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Approved for use through 04/30/2009. OMB 0651-0301

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERE	Docket Number 03-509-A	(Optional)		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with cufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to	In re Application of Chris E. Matichuk			
sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313- 1450"[37 CFR 1.8(a)]	Application Number 09/972,424		Filed October 4, 2001	
on Signature	For One Cli	lick Web Records		
Typed or printed name	Art Unit Examiner 2145 Azizul Q. Chou		Examiner Azizul Q. Choudhury	
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference	s from the last	decision of the exa	aminer.	
The fee for this Notice of Appeal is (37 CFR 41.20 (b)(1))			\$ <u>540.00</u>	
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27, Therefore, the by half, and the resulting fee is:	e fee shown ab	ove is reduced	\$	
A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed,				
Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.				
The Director has already been authorized to charge fees in this appl	ication to a Dep	osit Account.		
The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>132490</u> .				
A petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) (PTO/SB/22) is enclosed.				
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit be included on this form. Provide credit card information and au				
I am the				
applicant/inventor.		/Ge	orge I. Lee/	
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.	Signature George I. Lee			
(Form PTO/SB/96)		Турес	d or printed name	
attorney or agent of record. Registration number 39,269		312-913-2134		
Clastic and a graph coting under 27 CER 4 24		101	ephone number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	April 22, 2009			
_	***************************************		Date	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.	interest or thei	r representative(s)	are required.	
*Total of forms are submitted.				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 41.31. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance In completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (MBHB 03-509-A)

In the Application of:)
Chris E. Matichuk) Examiner: Azizul Q. Choudhury)
Serial No.: 09/972,424)) Group Art Unit: 2145
Filing Date: October 4, 2001)) Confirmation No.: 8244
For: One Click Web Records)

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW ACCOMPANYING NOTICE OF APPEAL

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

SIR:

ERRORS IN THE PRIOR REJECTION

Consistent with the Review Requirements for identification of clear errors, Applicants note the following clear errors set forth in the January 22, 2009 Final Office Action:

The Examiner's rejection of claims 1-40 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art references of Killian (U.S. Pat. No. 6,163,316) and Klosterman (U.S. Pat. No. 5,940,073) is clearly based on factual errors and should be reversed by the Panel.

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of Examiner's rejection of claims 1-28 and 33-40 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). The Examiner has rejected these claims in view of the cited prior art references of Killian and Klosterman.

Applicants submit that the Examiner has failed to assert a prima facie case of obviousness for at least the reason that Killian and Klosterman, considered individually or in combination, fail to disclose each and every element of the claimed invention. Applicants do not concede that one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the Killian and Klosterman references as asserted by the Examiner. However, even if, hypothetically and only for the purposes of this Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review, the references were combined, the combination would still fail to disclose each and every element of the claims 1-28 and 33-40.

A. The §103(a) Prior Art Rejection of Claims 1-28 and 33-40 Is Clearly Based on Factual Error

The Examiner conceded on page 4 of the last Office Action that Killian fails to teach the selection of an advertisement to start the scheduling of the recording of the programming. This is a feature recited in independent claims 1, 19, 24, 33, 35 and 37. Accordingly, the Examiner relies upon Klosterman for teaching this feature.

Klosterman Fails to Teach Selecting an Advertisement to Schedule the Recording of the Programming

On page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner states that Klosterman discloses while viewing through the computer, the user is allowed to click on an advertisement which allows the remote (the recording device can be disparate from the computer) automatic scheduling of the recording of the informercial/program, citing column 2, lines 14-17. The cited portion of

Klosterman states "if the information region contains advertising information regarding a

product, the user may click on the information region to see a billboard or schedule a recording

of an informercial on the product."

Applicants submit that the Examiner's citation of Klosterman for teaching the claimed

limitation of "enabling selection of the advertisement; and in response to selection of the

advertisement, automatically remotely programming the media-based device to record the

broadcast program at the predetermined start time" or language to that effect as recited in

independent claims 1, 19, 24, 33, 35 and 37, is clearly based on factual error for at least two

reasons.

2. Klosterman Does Not Teach Clicking On an Advertisement for a Broadcast

Program

First, Klosterman teaches that the user may click on the information region to schedule a

recording for an infomercial on a product, "if the information region contains advertising

information regarding a product" col. 2, lines 14-17. Applicants submit that because

Klosterman is directed at an electronic program schedule guide, wherein the program guide has

information regions for additional information, a product is different from a broadcast program

on the program guide. As such, Klosterman is expressly directed toward selecting a product.

As discussed below, Klosterman does not teach clicking on an advertisement for a broadcast

program to schedule recording of the program itself.

3. Klosterman Does Not Teach Clicking On an Advertisement for a Broadcast

Program to Schedule Recording the Actual Program Itself

Moreover, Klosterman teaches clicking on the advertisement for the product to see a

billboard or schedule a recording of an informercial on the product. Applicants submit that even

3

Appl. No. 09/972,424

Pre-Appeal Request for Review Dated April 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of January 22, 2009

if, hypothetically and only for the purposes of this brief, a product is construed as a broadcast

program, Klosterman teaches clicking on an advertisement of the product/program resulting in

recording of the informercial/trailer of the program. Col. 2, lines 14-17. Klosterman does not

teach that clicking on the advertisement results in scheduling the recording of the actual

broadcast program itself. Rather, clicking on the program obtains more information about the

program (billboard or informercial), not recording the advertised program itself.

In other words, the relationship of what is clicked and what is recorded in Klosterman

(advertisement for product/infomercial on the product) is differs from claims 1-28 and 33-40

recitation of what is clicked and what is recorded.

For at least the reasons stated above, Applicants submit that the Examiner's rejection of

claims 1-28 and 33-40 is clearly based on factual errors and should be overturned by the Panel.

As such, Applicants submit that Klosterman fails to make up for the deficiencies of

Killian and that accordingly, the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-28 and 33-40 is clearly based

on factual errors and should be overturned by the Panel.

For at least this reason, Applicants submit that the Examiner's rejection is clearly

erroneous and should be overturned by the Panel.

Respectfully submitted,

McDONNELL BOEHNEN

HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

Date: April 22, 2009

By: /George I. Lee/

George I. Lee

Registration No. 39,269

4